UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,517	09/11/2003	Mamoud Sadre		3614
7590 03/25/201 Mamoud Sadre		1	EXAMINER	
Unit # 203			ROBERTSON, DAVID	
165 Tremont St Boston, MA 02			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/659,517	SADRE, MAMOUD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dave Robertson	2121
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Dave Robertson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Dr. Mamoud Sadre (pro se, inventor/applicant).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 March 2011	Time: <u>5:10pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: $112(2^{nd})$ on $112(6^{th})$ issues		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none, specifically		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	BDISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Dave Robertson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2121	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner alerted Applicant to forthcoming office action rejecting claims over 112(2nd) but including additional prior art for review and response, and also indicated potential for allowable subject matter if properly claimed. Applicant expressed understanding that current claims language invokes patentability considerations not fully understood, and would appreciate guidance on working toward claim language reciting claims to potentially allowable subject matter. Examiner provides herewith (attached) proposed claim language capturing potentially allowable subject matter recited appropriately for 112 and 101 considerations. Examiner reiterates from the office action encouraging Applicant to call upon receipt and review before further response as a means to advance prosecution toward allowance.